

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/22/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/706,491	11/03/2000	Gregory E. Ross	RI-69912/MAK	6486
75	90 05/22/2003			
Michael A Kaufman			EXAMINER	
Four Embarcad	Test Albritton & Herber ero Center	BARR, MICHAEL E		
Suite 3400 San Francisco, CA 94111-4187			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1762	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	N
	/

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/706,491	ROSS, GREGORY E.			
		Examin r	Art Unit			
		Michael Barr	1762			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on th cov r sh t with the correspondenc address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 N</u>	<u>lay 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48 and 55-63</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 36-46 and 48 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)🖂	5) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23, 25-35, 47, 55-63</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	7) Claim(s) 24 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/706,491 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments and amendments, filed 5/8/2003, have been fully considered and reviewed by the examiner. In light of the amendments, the objections and rejections to the claims under 35 USC 112 and the rejections to the claims over the Yoshimura reference have been withdrawn by the examiner. The examiner acknowledges the cancellation of Claims 49-54. Claims 1-48 and 55-63 are pending.

In light of the amendments, the rejections to the claims over the Yoshimura reference have been withdrawn and thus the arguments against the Yoshimura reference are now moot.

The applicant has argued against the Hill reference stating that it does not teach all of the limitations of the claims as presently set forth, specifically achieving the alignment of the coating without mechanical alteration of the coatings. The examiner is not persuaded by the applicant's arguments. Hill teaches methods of achieving registration of the applied coatings that do not include mechanical alteration of the applied coatings, such as screen or transfer printing techniques (Col. 10, lines 30-57). Therefore, it is the examiner's position that Hill does teach the claimed invention.

Election/Restrictions

2. Newly submitted claims 36-46 and 48 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Claims 36-46 and 48 are directed to a cooking article and a see-through window. The originally presented claims did

Art Unit: 1762

not claim or require a cooking article or a see-through window with the structure claimed in present Claims 36-46 and 48. Furthermore, the articles of Claims 36-46 and 48 can be produced by a materially different method other than that claimed in the originally presented claims, as they can be produced by a method where the edge is defined (cut) after the formation of all the layers.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 36-46 and 48 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 23, 25-35, 47, and 55-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hill.

Hill is applied here for the same reasons as given above and in paragraph 8 of the previous office action. In regards to step d) of Claim 23, the mere act of changing the temperature around the coatings would modify a characteristic of the first coating, as would occur during the use of the Hill coatings in the external environments taught by Hill (see Col. 15,

Art Unit: 1762

lines 44-68 for example), since the temperature of the first coating would also be changed, and thus would meet the limitations of Claim 23.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1-22 are allowed.
- 6. Claim 24 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 None of the prior art cited or reviewed by the examiner teaches the claimed process, where a shape of a portion of the first coating is modified by applying energy thereto via an over-covering region of the second coating.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 09/706,491 Page 5

Art Unit: 1762

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Barr whose telephone number is 703-305-7919. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck can be reached on 703-308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 or 703-305-5408 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Michael Barr Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1762

MB

May 21, 2003